EDITED BY Alejandro R. Jadad Andrés Cabrera Renée F. Lyons Francisco Martos Richard Smith

> When people live with multiple chronic diseases: a collaborative approach to an emerging global challenge



Escuela Andaluza de Salud Pública CONSEJERÍA DE SALUD



Words cloud from chapter sections "Why is this topic important?" and "What do we know?" [Available at: http://www.wordle.net]

# When people live with multiple chronic diseases: a collaborative approach to an emerging global challenge

EDITED BY Alejandro R. Jadad Andrés Cabrera Renée F. Lyons Francisco Martos Richard Smith



# When people live with multiple chronic diseases: a collaborative approach to an emerging global challenge

### Editors

### Alejandro R. Jadad

Chief Innovator and Founder, Centre for Global eHealth Innovation

Canada Research Chair in eHealth Innovation Rose Family Chair in Supportive Care Professor, Departments of Anesthesia; and Health

Policy, Management and Evaluation; and Dalla Lana School of Public Health

University Health Network and University of Toronto Canada

### Andrés Cabrera León

Professor, Statistics and Epidemiology Andalusian School of Public Health Spain

### Renée F. Lyons

Bridgepoint Chair in Complex Chronic Disease Research TD Financial Group Scientific Director, Bridgepoint Collaboratory for Research and Innovation Professor (status), Dalla Lana School of Public Health University of Toronto and Bridgepoint Health Canada

#### Francisco Martos Pérez

Medical Processes Director Benalmádena High Resolution Hospital, Public Enterprise Costa del Sol Hospital Spain

#### **Richard Smith**

Director, Ovations Chronic Disease Initiative United Kingdom

### Technical support team

Juan Antonio Castillo Guijarro Administrative assistant Andalusian School of Public Health, Spain

### Antonio Contreras Sánchez Computing manager Andalusian School of Public Health, Spain

Diana Gosálvez Prados Knowledge manager Andalusian School of Public Health, Spain

Begoña Isac Martínez Community manager Andalusian School of Public Health, Spain

### Alejandro López Ruiz Professor, Information and Technology Andalusian School of Public Health, Spain

### Contributors

Christina Almonte American Society of Complex Therapeutics United States of America

Manuel Armayones Open University of Catalonia, Spain

Alirio Arreaza\* American Society of Complex Therapeutics United States of America

Peter Bailey\* Cambridgeshire Primary Care Trust United Kingdom

Mario Barbagallo University of Palermo, Italy

Jackie Bender University of Toronto, Canada

Rafael Bengoa\* Consumers and Health Department of the Basque Government, Spain

Máximo Bernabeu Wittel\* University Hospital Virgen del Rocío, Spain

Bob Bernstein Bridgepoint Health, Canada

Andrés Cabrera León\* Andalusian School of Public Health, Spain

Antonio Contreras Sánchez Andalusian School of Public Health, Spain

Alejandro Cravioto\* International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh

Simon Chapman University of Sydney, Australia José María de la Higuera González\* University Hospital Virgen del Rocío, Spain

Katia De Pinho Campos University of Toronto, Canada

**Ligia Dominguez** University of Palermo, Italy

Murray Enkin McMaster University and University of Toronto Canada

Jaime Espín Balbino Andalusian School of Public Health, Spain

Josephine Fagan Rowlands Gill Medical Centre, United Kingdom

John Gillies Institute of Rural Health, United Kingdom

Esther Gil-Zorzo Ministry of Health and Social Policy, Spain

Diana Gosálvez Prados Andalusian School of Public Health, Spain

Maria Carmen Griñán Martinez Open University of Catalonia, Spain

Juan Antonio Guerra de Hoyos Andalusian Health Service, Andalusian Government, Spain

Rajeev Gupta Fortis Escorts Hospital, India

Narcis Gusi Fuertes University of Extremadura, Spain

Antonia Herráiz Mallebrera Blog «Salud@Información», Spain Emilio Herrera Molina\* ES-Health & Wellness Telecom, Spain

Begoña Isac Martínez Andalusian School of Public Health, Spain

Alejandro R. Jadad\* University Health Network and University of Toronto, Canada

Jennifer Jones University Health Network and University of Toronto, Canada

Sara Kreindler University of Manitoba, Canada

Kerry Kuluski Canadian Research Network for Care in the Community, Canada

Angel Lee Onn Kei\* Tan Tock Seng Hospital, Singapore

Yan Lijing Norhtwestern University United States of America

Alejandro López Ruiz Andalusian School of Public Health, Spain

Julio Lorca Gómez\* Institute of Innovation for Human Wellbeing, Spain

Kate R Lorig\* Stanford University School of Medicine United States of America

Renée F. Lyons University of Toronto and Bridgepoint Health, Canada Beatriz Marcet Champaigne InterAmerican Heart Foundation United States of America

Francisco Martos Pérez\* Costa del Sol Hospital, Spain

Patrick McGowan\* University of Victoria, Canada

J. Jaime Miranda Cayetano Heredia Peruvian University, Peru

Scott A. Murray University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom

Maria Nabal University Hospital Arnau de Vilanova, Spain

Tracy Novak Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health United States of America

**Roberto Nuño Solinis\*** Basque Institute for Health Innovation (O+Berri) Spain

Manuel Ollero Baturone\* University Hospital Virgen del Rocío, Spain

M<sup>a</sup> Ángeles Ortiz\* Clinical Management Unit in primary care of Camas, Spain

Rafael Pinilla Palleja Best Quality of Life, Spain

Cristina Rabadán-Diehl\* National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute United States of America

Manuel Rincón Gómez\* University Hospital Virgen del Rocío, Spain

### Contributors (continued)

Adolfo Rubinstein Institute of Clinical Effectiveness, Argentina

Manuel Serrano Global Alliance for Self Management Support, Spain

Mary Ann Sevick University of Pittsburgh United States of America

Richard Smith\* Ovations Chronic Disease Initiative, United Kingdom

Carmen Tamayo\* American Society of Complex Therapeutics United States of America

Pritpal Tamber Map of Medicine, United Kingdom

Ross Upshur University of Toronto and Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Canada

Abraham Wall-Medrano\* Autonomous University of Ciudad Juárez, Mexico

**Ong Yew Jin** National Health Group, Singapore

### Acknowledgements

Isabel Alamar Torró Casa Escritura, Spain

Carlos Álvarez-Dardet University of Alicante, Spain

Joseph Ana Health Science, Nigeria

Robert Anderson Global Alliance for Self Management Support United States of America

Juan Carlos Arbonies Ortiz Basque Health Service, Spain

Neil Arnott National Health Service, United Kingdom

**Julie Barlow** Global Alliance for Self Management Support United Kingdom

**Gerald Bloomfield** Duke University School of Medicine United States of America

**Ángela Cejudo** Bellavista-Los Bermejales Primary Care Center Spain

Ana Clavería Galician Health Service, Spain

Jane Cooper Global Alliance for Self Management Support United Kingdom

Francisca Domínguez Guerrero Hospital of Jerez, Spain

\*Main contributor

Giulia Fernández Avagliano Andalusian School of Public Health, Spain

Isabel Fernández Ruiz Andalusian School of Public Health, Spain

Hermes Florez Global Alliance for Self Management Support United States of America

Martha Lucia Garcia Garcia Human resources manager, Canada

Marina Gómez- Arcas Hospital of La Línea, Spain

Rodrigo Gutiérrez Health Service of Castilla-La Mancha Spain

Camila Higueras Callejón Andalusian School of Public Health Spain

Anne Kennedy Global Alliance for Self Management Support United Kingdom

Svjetlana Kovacevic Administrative Coordinator, Canada

Doriane Miller Global Alliance for Self Management Support United States of America

José Miguel Morales Asencio Universidad de Málaga, Spain

José Murcia Zaragoza Global Alliance for Self Management Support, Spain

Jacqueline Ponzo Center of Excellence for Cardiovascular Health in South America, Uruguay Barbara Paterson University of New Brunswick, Canada

Encarnación Peinado Álvarez Health Ministry. Andalusian Government, Spain

Juan José Pérez Lázaro Andalusian School of Public Health, Spain

Jim Philips Global Alliance for Self Management Support United Kingdom

José Luis Rocha Health Ministry. Andalusian Government, Spain

Anne Rogers Global Alliance for Self Management Support United Kingdom

Judith Schaeffer Global Alliance for Self Management Support United States of America

Carmen F. Sigler Transversal Arte y Estrategia, Spain

Warren Todd Global Alliance for Self Management Support United States of America

Andy Turner Global Alliance for Self Management Support United Kingdom

**Sheila Wylie** English language consultant Spain

### Published by ESCUELA ANDALUZA DE SALUD PÚBLICA

ISBN: 978-84-693-2470-7

DL: Gr-2653/2010

Printed in Granada: Alsur, S.C.A.

Layout and graphic design: Carmen F. Sigler. www.transversal.tv

How to reference

Jadad AR, Cabrera A, Martos F, Smith R, Lyons RF. When people live with multiple chronic diseases: a collaborative approach to an emerging global challenge. Granada: Andalusian School of Public Health; 2010. Available at: http://www.opimec.org/equipos/ when-people-live-with-multiple-chronic-diseases/

### All rights reserved

The responsibility for the content rests with the contributors and does not necessarily represent the views of Junta de Andalucía or any other organization participating in this effort

### Contents

Foreword				
Chapter	1	Why Multiple Chronic Diseases? Why now? What is going on around the world?	19	
Chapter	2	The language of polypathology	39	
Chapter	3	Prevention and health promotion	59	
Chapter	4	Management models	89	
Chapter	5	Patient education and self-management support	117	
Chapter	6	Primary care, institutional services and integrated management processes	143	
Chapter	7	Supportive and palliative care	163	
Chapter	8	Integrative medicine	191	
Chapter	9	Socioeconomic implications	213	
Chapter	10	The promise of genomics, robotics, informatics and nanotechnologies	229	
Chapter	11	Dealing with the challenges of polypathology, together: What's next?	243	
Abbreviations			250	
Figures and Tables			251	
Index			252	



Chapter 6

## Primary care, institutional services and integrated management processes

This chapter is continuously evolving at www.opimec.org

### Vignette: How it could be

«What are you laughing about, Dad? How can you leave the hospital laughing? Have you been playing tricks again?». Manuel had been a doctor, but he preferred to keep it quiet. He said he would rather go incognito to keep tabs on «his system». At 85 years of age he felt he had helped shape the world around him and had a sense of pride in that. He had been a fighter his entire life. After spending his teenage years promoting social justice, he had belonged to the «generation of change» that really drove forward the National Health System in his country, creating the specialty of Family and Community Medicine. In 2005, after seeing the writing on the wall, he was one of the champions of healthcare service integration. In 2022, after he had retired and fallen ill, he began to play an active role in the associations, committees and networks, which he helped create through his local primary care centre.

Now his diabetes mellitus and high blood pressure had ended up taking their toll, and leading to cardiac and renal failure. More recently; he had been diagnosed as having prostate cancer, which fortunately was growing slowly. He nonetheless maintained his independence. He did not want to be a burden on his family, and had chosen to continue living in his local neighborhood. Over recent years he had become one of the real leaders and role models of his community. He played an active role in self-help groups, working with Eva, his nurse, and among the virtual social networks his contributions had become highly valued by other patients and health professionals.

Over the past few years his situation had gradually worsened, especially after he broke his hip. That offered a real opportunity for him to put the system to the test. During his time in hospital he noted that the internist turned up on the first day, and that she was familiar with his case already, thanks to the powerful global clinical information system available to her. As soon as they began planning

his discharge, Eva got in touch with the specialist and Manuel, and together they decided it would be better for him to spend a few days at a residential facility to begin his rehabilitation. Julia, his daughter, wanted to take him home with her, but he chose to spend two weeks at such a facility and then go back home, where he would receive assistance which Eva had arranged via the local primary care centre. When he returned to his home, the physiotherapist was there waiting for him, along with support staff who would help him keep the house in order and so maintain his independence. And his partners from the primary care centre were there every day, online and often in person, also assisting him. All these forms of support just fell into place. They all knew his history and his aims. No one asked him for his credit card.

This was society repaying him a part of what he had contributed throughout his life, both professionally and as a taxpayer. The system worked, as was illustrated during a recent visit to a local pharmacy. He had gone out for a walk, when his hip pain became unbearable. When he asked a pharmacist for an anti-inflammatory painkiller, an electronic alert reminded them about his kidney problems. Something similar happened when he had to seek support for dehydration during the end-of-year holidays. At the small emergency room facility by the beach where he spent so many wonderful moments with his grandchildren they knew about his renal problems and, having been alerted, gave him a personalized program.

Over the past year his chronic heart failure had been the major cause for concern, and on two occasions his family practitioner, Mario, had advised that he go into hospital. While he was there, he noticed that the hospital internist had spoken with Mario and had planned the three days he would be admitted step-by-step. During his most recent pneumonia attack everything went like clockwork. Mario linked him to the remote monitoring system, which allowed him to stay home while ensuring his safety. From the hospital and the primary care centre his progress was monitored closely. He could even hold a four-way conference with Paula, Mario and Eva. His daughter, Julia, would be able to carry on working and help out her father from her own home or office. Yes, Manuel was satisfied, because he knew all this was not because he had been a physician, but because in his «system» it was a top priority to support his fight to keep him alive and well.

## Summary

• Health systems are not keeping pace with the rapid changes in disease patterns that are occurring in most societies around the world. Most countries continue to structure their health systems into different levels that distinguish between health and social services, and that separate primary care from specialized care, creating serious challenges for the management of polypathology.

- Those organizations that recognize that traditional models of care are not only outdated but harmful are embarking on aggressive efforts to create new clinical roles, workflows and processes with which to meet the complex needs of people living with multiple chronic diseases.
- Successful programs for these patients emphasize the role of primary care as central during the coordination of health and social services, and the importance of process re-engineering to align the skills and motivations of different stakeholders in different settings.
- The partnership of physicians and nurses working in the community with hospitalbased general internists and nurses, guided by risk-based stratification methods and comprehensive care processes, promises to be an effective way to strengthen the continuity and personalization of health and social services for people living with multiple chronic diseases.

### Why is this topic important?

Traditional approaches to organizing and providing health services do not match the needs of people living with multiple chronic diseases (1, 2). Rather than dealing with episodes of care within a system that continues to focus on acute conditions, with a separation between primary and specialized care, and a gap between health and social services (3), we all need a system that follows a comprehensive and integrated approach to services provided by teams that transcend institutional boundaries (4), away from conventional performance indicators that reinforce fragmentation of care (5).

Developing and nurturing services that meet the needs of the increasing number of people living with multiple chronic diseases becomes even more relevant as the population ages and becomes frailer (6-12). As multiple chronic illnesses accumulate and individuals become progressively more fragile, sliding even further down the slippery slope of dependency (13, 14), they need a comprehensive response from social and health services, in a timely and personalized fashion (15). Nonetheless, even in settings with sophisticated networks of social services there is often poor integration with the health system proper (16). It is reassuring, on the other hand, to witness how the integration of social and health services is viewed increasingly as a major priority in Canada, Denmark, the Netherlands, the United Kingdom and Spain (17-19). There seems to be some degree of agreement within this context as to the need to restructure

care organizations (20) as reflected by the numerous care integration projects which have arisen over recent years (21). Common elements across such projects are not only clinical services that follow a people-centered approach, but also efforts to harmonize financial and organizational structures, with coordinated and well aligned incentives, tasks, workflows and processes across tiers of care, provider groups and settings (22). The main challenge, however, is to translate successes within relatively small controlled environments into widely embraced and sustainable practices.

### What do we know?

Although the concept first arose in the 1970s, it was in the aftermath of the Alma Ata Conference in 1978 (23) that primary care was declared the backbone of a modern health system, being entrusted with coordination of the care provided across levels and settings (24). Primary care providers, particularly when they work in teams and in community-based centers, are uniquely suited for this role because of their ability to act as bridges with specialized services, to monitor the impact of disease management efforts and to address the psychosocial issues of those living with multiple chronic diseases (25-27). This is backed up by experiences accumulated in the past three decades indicating that strong primary care within a health system offers greater efficiency, with better health outcomes, greater public satisfaction and lower costs (28-32).

The mounting evidence in favor of strengthening primary care has not been adopted uniformly throughout the world. In the USA, for instance, 35% of primary care physicians in 2005 were practicing in isolation or in pairs, while between 1996 and 2001 the percentage of physicians in groups of 20 or more did not increase (33). Other countries, such as Spain, have made a strong commitment to primary care, achieving impressive results in just over two decades and, underscoring that it is possible to motivate individual practitioners to join multidisciplinary teams that provide continuous services guided by objective-based management models and supported by shared team objectives, while optimizing the role of each professional group (34-40).

Efforts to strengthen primary care must, however, be coupled with initiatives designed to improve communication, service coordination and continuity of care between the community and specialized facilities (41-51). This has been achieve successfully through policy reform (52, 53), thanks to the design of care packages for specific segments of the population, or within clearly delimited geographical areas. The latter, better suited

to the political and administrative structure of Europe's national health systems, seems to be the most effective and efficient approach to the integration of services (54-56). Not surprisingly, it has been shown that the availability of financial resources and strong political support are powerful drivers of positive change (57).

### Lessons from managed care

Managed care organizations in the US have developed and successfully implemented powerful instruments for the management of multiple chronic diseases through activities known as Disease Management Programs (DMPs) and Case Management Programs (CMPs) (58).

DMPs rely on multidisciplinary teams providing high-quality care based on the best available knowledge encapsulated in clinical practice guidelines and protocols for a specific disease or clinical condition. The criticisms most often leveled against them point at the risk that they could lead to parallel healthcare systems, undermining primary care (59). As for evidence regarding their effectiveness and efficiency, a recent review (60) concluded that such programs improved quality, although there is no solid evidence as to their economic impact (61).

CMPs, on the other hand, are intended for patients with complex care needs and rely on specialist case managers responsible for the coordination and integration of multiple services. Within the context of CMPs, «care» is understood in a broad sense, including all manner of provisions even if not strictly health-based, such as social or community services and those provided by volunteer groups and associations. They are particularly appropriate for the management of multiple chronic diseases, as attempts to apply different DMPs to the same individual simultaneously could lead to increased fragmentation of services. As DMPs have valuable elements that could improve the management of multiple chronic diseases the trend is to blend them with CMPs, erasing the boundaries between the two approaches [62].

Whether it would be possible to transplant this kind of programs from HMOs into whole national health systems (63, 64) remains unclear. As outlined in Chapter 4, the same issue applies to chronic disease care models (65-69), or systematic approaches to categorize inge cases based on their complexity (70-72).

### Process re-engineering

Process re-engineering is based on the principle that the changes which have taken place over the past 20 years in sectors such as banking, airlines or the car industry could complement what has been achieved with more traditional models within the health sector (73-77). It attempts to deal with complexity in a more effective manner, matching the needs of those who live with multiple chronic diseases, in time and space, with the way in which different health professionals could satisfy them in a timely manner in the appropriate spaces. One of the main contributions of a process-driven approach to the management of multiple chronic diseases is that it helps reduce or even eliminate the effect of boundaries across different levels of care and settings, as resources are mobilized in a timely manner on the basis of the needs of the patient, not on where they are available or when. The process-driven management of people with multiple chronic diseases developed in Andalusia (see Chapter 2) is a good example of the successful application of this approach (78). The emergence of computer tools for the modeling, simulation and monitoring of complex processes is bringing even more powerful ways to apply process re-engineering to the management of polypathologies.

### What do we need to know?

On the 25<sup>th</sup> anniversary of Alma Ata (80), the World Health Organization acknowledged that the epidemic of chronic disease has created many new challenges for primary care. As the need for comprehensive health system guaranteeing the continuity and coordination of health and social services increases, it will become inevitable that we assess and redefine the functions and competencies of all health professionals. How to achieve this successfully, is unclear (81). The portfolio of primary care services will probably expand, to meet the needs not only of an increasingly complex population of patients, but also of their caregivers (82).

An increased focus by family physicians on individualized care in primary care, on the other hand, would need to be balanced with their role as providers of population-based services related to health promotion and disease prevention. The risks of fragmentation are highlighted by the evolution of the family medicine specialty in Spain. When it first appeared, it was labeled «Family and Community Medicine», with the family physician acting as a clinical expert in public health. The specialty then evolved to give family physicians an increased capacity for action in the clinical sphere, without giving up preventive activities (83, 84). As the workload increased, this led to the professionalization of public health activities and the designation of additional personnel as public health experts responsible for community health promotion programs and selective initiatives focusing on specific populations (85) in partnership with the family practitioner. Whether and how to promote or discourage the emergence of new types of health professionals will require careful attention.

Bold innovations will also be required to reduce the burden on physicians resulting from their role as integrators of clinical practice. A study of 11 family physicians across different regions of the US found that 13% of the working day was spent on coordinating care (86). Another study of 16 geriatric specialists, found that they spent 14% of their working day also coordinating care between visits, without receiving remuneration (87). Such innovations should focus on a successful reduction in the number of patients per physicians or payment for care coordination, as proposed by the American College of Physicians and the American Academy of Family Physicians (88), or the involvement of other primary care team members devoted exclusively to care coordination (87,90).

Similar changes are required in nursing roles, particularly as the value of curing gives way to the value of caring. Unavoidably, nursing staff will have increased care responsibilities (91). Questions remain, however, as to how to ensure that patients trust in their judgment when they perform tasks previously limited to physicians, and that the latter do not feel threatened by them. As nurses become more autonomous, many of their current tasks will be performed by assistants and auxiliaries (92). How fast, deep and broad this transition should be is unclear.

Professional roles within hospitals are also changing. The clearest example is the role that general internists play in these bastions of specialization. For instance, in the USA the proportion of patients managed by general internists rose by 29% per year between 1997 and 2006 (93). In other countries, such as Spain, despite the development of medical specialties, general internal medicine remains the backbone of most hospital medical services (94). This has created opportunities for breakthroughs in patient care. In 1996 a study into coordination between tiers of care led to the proposal for a partnership between primary care generalists in the community, and internists as hospital generalists, with a view to introducing a shared care model (95). In 1997 the Spanish Society of Internal Medicine and the Spanish Society of Family and Community Medicine proposed a model for coordination between tiers of care based on this family physician-general internist partnership. The proposal recognized the role of the family physician as the patient's

primary agent, while the general internist, acting as a generalist within the hospital, would play the role of the second bridging agent within the hospital context. This bridging agent would not compete with the function of the family physician, but would facilitate the integration of services based on a privileged position, that of the multifunctional hospital professional, with access to diagnostic resources at that level, and a «bed» resource when needed (96, 97). One of the most innovative and genuine contributions of this approach was the assigningment of joint leadership to family physicians and general internists, with responsibility for optimizing communication and aligning services through different levels of care. A number of studies have analyzed the impact of this approach (98, 99), underscoring an improvement in professional satisfaction (100, 101). How it compares with other models of care coordination, however, remains unknown (102, 103).

Another area that deserves attention is the web of signs, symptoms and ailments that prevents most patients with multiple diseases from expressing their real concerns in a clinical care environment. Authority over the predicament of these patients can only be gained through shared understanding, and the primary care physician is in a privileged position to achieve it, particularly if equal importance is given to the patients story of the illness, the clinicians story of the illness and the relationship between clinician and patient.

Another area that requires attention is the role to be played by primary health care teams, as multiple chronic diseases challenge all of the traditional roles and workflows within the health system (104-106). Some authors believe that health teams are incompatible with personalized care (107). Others advocate for teams with greater flexibility within a single management structure bringing together primary care and hospitals (108).

The impact of health policies combining process re-engineering with decentralized clinical management units has not yet been assessed (109). Special consideration will need to be given to appropriate quality certification and accreditation policies for the services provided within this context (110, 111) and at the hospital level (112, 113). New systems will be required for the classification of patients on individual and population bases, particularly to facilitate the appropriate allocation of resources (114, 115). Such systems would need to be carefully designed and evaluated, to avoid discriminating against older populations or any other group with a high proportion of patients living with multiple chronic diseases.

### What innovative strategies could fill the gaps?

Filling the gaps identified above will require unprecedented levels of collaboration across all levels and groups of stakeholders in the health system. Any effort will depend on reaching agreement, first and foremost, on the terminology that should be used to communicate within and across traditional boundaries. The global taxonomy being developed with support from the OPIMEC platform and the clinical categories related to polypathology described in Chapter 2 represent important steps along this path (116). These will allow for efficient and objective identification of populations of people living with multiple chronic diseases with similar healthcare needs (117-120) and clinical characteristics (121) enabling an evaluation of the roles of different members of the healthcare team (122) and caregivers (123), and the assessment of different interventions to optimize their quality of life (124) and the available human and financial resources.

Another area well suited to global collaboration through a platform such as OPIMEC is the exploration of the optimal role that algorithms, care paths or integrated care process in general could play in the transformation of clinical services.

Given the multidimensional nature of such interventions and the dynamic nature of the relationships among different stakeholders, tools from simulation-driven training programs and complexity sciences could play an important role in any research effort designed to promote new health professions, to re-define existing ones, or to optimize the impact of innovative interventions to improve patient care , health services and policies (125, 126).

Assessing the effectiveness and acceptance by the population of management strategies based on new roles of health professionals is essential for their promotion.

Lastly, it will be vital to promote the creation of a global association of people living with multiple chronic diseases as a means of harnessing the power of patients and caregivers as agents for change.

### Contributors

Manuel Ollero, José María de la Higuera, Máximo Bernabeu and Mª Ángeles Ortiz wrote the initial draft of this chapter in Spanish. Alejandro Jadad approved the draft before it was made available online, in Spanish and English, through the OPIMEC platform. This draft received important contributions from Pritpal Tamber and Peter Bailey (in English). Francisco Martos incorporated these contributions into a revised version of the chapter, which was approved by Alejandro Jadad for publication.

Responsibility for the content rests with the main contributors and does not necessarily represent the views of Junta de Andalucía or any other organization participating in this effort.

### Acknowledgments

Rafael Pinilla and Ana Clavería made comments (in Spanish) that did not lead to changes in its contents.

### How to reference

De la Higuera JM\*, Ollero M\*, Bernabeu M\*, Ortiz MA\*, Bailey P, Tamber P. [\*Main contributors] Primary care, institutional services and integrated management processes. In: Jadad AR, Cabrera A, Martos F, Smith R, Lyons RF. When people live with multiple chronic diseases: a collaborative approach to an emerging global challenge. Granada: Andalusian School of Public Health; 2010. Available at: http://www.opimec.org/equipos/when-people-live-with-multiple-chronic-diseases/

### References

1. Bodenheimer T. Coordinating Care- A Perilous Journey through the Health Care System. N Engl J Med. 2008; 358(10): 1064-1071.

2. Bengoa R, Nuño R (eds). Curar y Cuidar. Innovación en la gestión de enfermedades crónicas: una guía práctica para avanzar. Barcelona: Elsevier-Masson; 2008.

3. Bengoa R. Problemas de salud emergentes e integración de servicios. Jano. 2008;(1693): 16-18.

4. Junkerman CL. Relationship of the family practitioner, general internist, and subspecialist. Wis Med J. 1976;75(10):99-101.

5. World Health Organization. The World Health Report 2000. Health Systems: improving performance. Genève: WHO; 2000.

6. INE. Encuesta sobre discapacidades, deficiencias y estado de salud 1999. Madrid: INE; 2000.

7. Abellán A, Puga M.ªD. Estudio monográfico: La discapacidad en la población española. En: Observatorio de personas mayores, editor. Las personas mayores en España. Informe 2004. Tomo I. Madrid: Ministerio de Trabajo y Asuntos Sociales; 2004. p.777-809.

8. Otero A, Zunzunegui MV, Rodríguez-Laso A, Aguilar MD, Lázaro P. Volumen y tendencias de la dependencia asociada al envejecimiento en la población española. Rev Esp Salud Pública. 2004; 78(2): 201-13.

9. Patterson C. Health promotion, screening and surveillance. En: Grimley J, Franklin T, Lynn B, Michel JP, Wilcock GK, editores. Oxford textbook of geriatric medicine. 2 ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003. p. 1126-35.

10. Bloom HG. Prevention. In: Cassel ChK, Leipzig RM, Cohen HJ, Larson EB, Meier DE, editors. Geriatric Medicine. An evidencebased approach. 4 ed. New York: Springer; 2003. p. 169-84.

11. Pacala JT. Atención preventiva y anticipatorio. En: Tallis RC y Fillit HM, editores. Brocklehurst´s Geriatría. Tomo 1. Madrid: Marban; 2005. p.213-20.

12. Mher DR, Tatum PE. Primary prevention of diseases of old age. Clin Geriatr Med. 2002;18(3):407-30.

13. Rubenstein LZ, KR Josephson, GD Wieland, PA English, JA Sayre, and RL Kane. Effectiveness of a geriatric evaluation unit: a randomized clinical trial. N Eng J Med. 1984; 311(26):1664-1670.

14. Baztán JJ. Atención sanitaria al anciano frágil: de la teoría a la evidencia científica. Med Clin (Barc). 2000; 115(18):704-707.

15. INSALUD-imserso. Jornadas europeas sobe atención a las personas mayores dependientes. La respuesta socios-sanitaria. Madrid: INSALUD-imserso;1995.

16. Casado D, Rico Ay Sabes R. Asistencia integrada a los ancianos dependientes en España. Documentación Social. 2002;(127):247-276.

17. Nuño Solis R. Exploración conceptual de la atención integrada. En Bengoa R, Nuño R (eds). Curar y Cuidar. Innovación en la gestión de enfermedades crónicas: una guía práctica para avanzar. Barcelona: Elsevier-Masson; 2008.

18. Salvà A, Vallès E, Llevadot D, et al. Una experiencia de atención sociosanitaria: programa Vida als Anys. Realidad y expectativas de futuro. Revista de Administración Sanitaria. 1999; 3(11): 413-428.

19. Els serveis per a la salut: mirant cap al futur. Mapa sanitari, sociosanitari i de salut pública. Barcelona: Departament de Salut. Generalitat de Catalunya; 2006.

20. The future of health care and care for the elderly: guaranteeing accessibility, quality and financial viability. In: Communication from the Commission to the Council, the European Parliament, the Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. Brussels, 05.12.2001. COM (2001) 723 final.

21. European Observatory on Health Systems and Policies. [Web Site]. [Access date April 21st, 2010]. Available at: http://www.euro.who.int/observatory.

22. III Plan Andaluz de Salud 2003-2008. Sevilla: Consejería de Salud. Junta de Andalucía; 2002. Available at:http://www.csalud.junta-andalucia.es/salud/sites/csalud/portal/index.jsp?opcion=listadoTematico& desplegar=/temas\_es/\_\_\_QUIENES\_SOMOS/&idioma=es&perfil=ciud&tema=/temas\_es/\_\_\_QUIENES\_SOMOS/C\_6\_Andalucia\_en\_salud\_planes\_y\_estrategias/III\_plan\_calidad/23. World Health Organization. Alma-Ata 1977. Prymary Health Care. Geneve: WHO, UNICEF; 1978.

24. Bengoa R. Strengthening primary health care for our communities. Conferencia Moving in the Right Direction. Wellington Convention Centre. New Zealand March 10-12, 2005.

25. Ortún V, Gérvas J. Fundamentos y eficiencia de la atención médica primaria. Med Clin (Barc). 1996; 106:97-102.

26. Martin Zurro A, Cano Perez JF, editors. Atención Primaria. Conceptos, organización y práctica clínica. 5ª ed. Madrid: Elsevier; 2003.

27. Starfield, B. Is primary care essential?. Lancet. 1994: 334(8930): 1129-33.

28. Rodríguez M, Stoyanova A. The effect of private insurance on the choice of GP/specialists and public/ private provider in Spain. Health Econ. 2004;13(7):689-703.

29. Starfield, B. Primary care: balancing health needs, services and technology. New York: Oxford University Press; 1998.

30. Larizgoitia I, Starfield B. Reform of primary health care: the case of Spain. Health Policy. 1997;41(2): 121-137.

31. Starfield B. Atención Primaria. Equilibrio entre necesidades de salud, servicios y tecnología. Barcelona:Editorial Masson, S.A.; 2001.

32. Starfield B. Is primary care essential?. Lancet 1994(8930):1129-33.

33. Bodenheimer T. Coordinating Care- A Perilous Journey through the Health Care System. N Engl J Med. 2008; 358(10):1064-1071.

34. Gene Badia J. Diez años de trabajo en equipo. Atención Primaria. 1994; 14(1):529-531.

35. Borrel F. Etica de la seguridad clínica: contribución desde la práctica médica. Med Clinica. 2007; 129(5):176-183.

36. Gené Badia J, Escaramis Babiano G, Sans Corrales M, Sampietro Colom I, Aguayo Menguy F, Cabezas Peña C y Gallo de Puelles P. Impact of economic incentives on quality of proffesional life and on enduser satisfaction in primary care. Healh Policy Jan. 2007; 80(1): 2-10.

37. Lorenzo-Casares A, Otero A. Publicaciones sobre evaluación de la atención primaria en España tras veinte años de reforma (1984-2004): Análisis temático y bibliométrico. Rev. Esp. Salud Publica. 2007;81(2):131-145.

38. Simó Miñana J. El gasto sanitario en España, 1995-2002. La Atención Primaria, Cenicienta del Sistema Nacional de Salud. Aten Primaria. 2007;39:127-132.

39. Minué Lorenzo S, de Manuel Keenoy E, Solas Gaspar O. Cap 4. Situación y futuro de la Atención Primaria. Informe SESPAS 2001. Granada: Andalusian School of Public Health; 2001.

40. European Observatory on Health Care Systems. WHO Regional Office for Europe. Health Care Systems in Transition. Spain. AMS 5012667 (SPA) Target 19 2000. Available at: http://www.who.dk/document/e70504.pdf

41. Gandhi TK, Sittig DF, Franklin M, Sussman AJ, Fairchild DG, Bates DW. Communication breakdown in the outpatient referral process. J Gen Intern Med. 2000;15(9):626-31.

42. Moore C, Wisnivesky J, Williams S, McGinn T. Medical errors related to discontinuity of care from an inpatient to an outpatient setting. J Gen Intern Med. 2003;18(8):646-51.

43. Schoen C, Osborn R, Huynh PT, Doty MM, Zapert K, Peugh J, Davis, D. Taking the pulse of health care systems: experiences of patients with health problems in six countries. Health Aff (Millwood) 2005; Suppl Web Exclusives:W5-509W5-525.

44. Kripalani S, LeFevre F, Phillips CO, Williams MV, Basaviah P, Baker DW. Deficits in communication and information transfer between hospital-based and primary care physicians. JAMA. 2007;297(8):831-41.

45. Forrest CB, Glade GB, Baker AE, Bocian A, von Schrader S, Starfield B. Coordination of specialty referrals and physician satisfaction with referral care. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2000; 154(5):499-506.

46. Gervás J, Rico A. Innovación en la Unión Europea (UE-15) sobre la coordinación entre Atención Primaria y especializada. Med Clin (Barc). 2006;126 (17):658-6

47. Gómez N, Orozco D, Merino J. Relación entre Atención Primaria y Especializada. Aten Primaria. 1997;20:25-33.

48. Gómez-Moreno N, Orozco-Beltrán D, Carratalá-Munuera C, Gil-Guillén V. Evolución de la relación entre atención primaria y especializada 1992-2001: estudio Delphi. Aten Primaria. 2006;37(4):195-202.

49. Fernández E, Luque FJ. Revisión sistemática sobre coordinación terapéutica entre atención primaria y atención especializada. Aten Primaria. 2007;39(1):1521.

50. IrazabalL, Gutiérrez B. ¿Funciona la comunicación entre los niveles primario y secundario? Aten Primaria. 1996;17(6): 37681.

51. Hofmarcher MM, Oxley H, Rusticelli E. Improved Health System Performance through better Care Coordination. Health Working Papers nº 30. Paris: OECD; 2007.

52. Institute of Medicine. Crossing the Quality Chasm: A New Health System for the 2st Century. Washington: National Academy Press; 2001. p.1.

53. WHO. The World Health Report 2000. Health sytems: improving performance. Geneva: WHO; 2000.

54. Gröne O, Garcia-Barbero M. Integrated care. A position paper of the WHO European office for integrated health care services. Int J Integr Care. 2001; 1:e21. Available at: http://www.ijic.org/publish/ issues/AA\_2001\_2/index.html?000036.

55. Rundall T, Shortell F, Wand M, Calasino L, Bodenheimer T, Gillies T et al. As good as it gets?. Chonic care management in nine leading US physician organization. Br Med J. 2002; 325(7370); 958-61.

56. Johri M, Beland F, Bergnman H. International experiments in integrated care of the elderly: a synthesys of the evidence. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2003; 18(3): 222-235.

57. Rico Gómez A, Moreno Fuentes F. J. Arquitectura de las organizaciones sanitarias integradas en Europa. Ibern Regás P. editores. Integración asistencial: fundamentos, experiencias y vias de avance. Barcelona: Masson; 2006.

58. Meneu de Guillerna R. Experiencias de integración clínica: equilibrio entre gestión de casos y gestión de enfermedades cuando solo existen enfermos. En Ibern Regàs P editor. Integración asistencial: fundamentos, experiencias y vias de avance. Barcelona: Masson; 2006.

59. Bodenheimer T. Disease management; promises and pitfalls. N Engl J Med. 1999; 340(15): 1202-1204.

60. Mattke S, Seid M, Ma S. Evidence for the effect of disease management: treating the patient: is 1 billion a year a good investment? Am J Manag Care. 2007; 13(12): 670-676.

61. Nuño Solinís R. Exploración conceptual de la atención integrada. In: Bengoa R y Nuño Solinís R editores. Curar y Cuidar. Innovación en la gestion de enfermedades crónicas: una guía práctica para avanzar. Barcelona: Masson; 2008.

62. Chen A, Brown R, Archival N, Aliotta S, Fox PD. Best Practices en coordinated Care. Princeton: Mathematica Policy Reseach; 2000.

63. Feachem RGA, Sekhri NK,White KL. Getting more for their dollar: a comparison of the NHS with Californias Kaiser Permanente. BMJ. 2002;324(7330):125-43.

64. Feachem R, Sheik N, White K. Getting more for their dollar: a comparasion of the NHS with California`s Kaiser Permanente. Br Med J. 2002; 2:1-8.

65. Wagner EH. Chronic disease management: What will it take to improve care for chronic illness?. Eff Clin Pract. 1998 Aug-Sep;1(1):2-4.

66. Wagner EH, Austin BT, Davis C, Hindmarsh M, Schaefer J, Bonomi A. Improving chronic illness care: translating evidence into action. Health Aff (Millwood). 2001 Nov-Dec; 20 (6):64-78.

67. WHO. Perfil de la Atención Innovadora para las Condiciones Crónicas en el informe Cuidado Innovador para las Condiciones Crónicas: Agenda para el Cambio. Geneva: WHO; 2003. Available at: http://www.who. int/chronic\_conditions/en/

68. Epping-Jordan J E, Pruitt S D, Bengoa R, Wagner E H. Improving the quality of health care for chronic conditions. Qual. Saf. Health Care. 2004 Aug; 13(4): 299-305.

69. Ministry of Health: Government of British Columbia. Expanded Chronic Care Model. Available at: http://www.health.gov.bc.ca/cdm/cdminbc/index.html

70. Supporting People with Long Term Conditions. An NHS and Social Care Model to support local innovation and integration. London: Department of Health; 2005.

71. NHS Modernization Agency. 10 High Impact Changes for Service Improvement and Delivery. London: Department of Health; 2004.

72. Improving Chronic Disease Management. London: Department of Health; 2004. Available at: http:// www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publicationsandstatistics/Publications/PublicationsPolicyAndGuidance/DH\_4075214

73. Colin-Thome D, Penny J. Learning from the Castlefields Health Center. London: NHS; 2004. Available at: www.natpact.nhs.uk

74. Implementing the Evercare Programme. Interim Report. Evercare. February 28, 2004. Available at: www.natpact.nhs.uk

75. Guía de diseño y mejora continua de Procesos Asistenciales Integrados. Sevilla: Consejeria de Salud; 2001.

76. Dotor Gracia M, Fernández García E, Álvarez Benito M. y cols. Guía de diseño y mejora continua de procesos asistenciales integrados . 2ª ed. Sevilla: Consejería de Salud; 2009. Available at: http://www.juntadeandalucia.es/salud/servicios/procesos/guia.asp

77. Temes Montes JL and cols. Gestión de Procesos Asistenciales Integrados. Aplicación práctica. Madrid: Mc Graw Hill. Interamericana; 2005.

78. Procesos Asistenciales Integrados. [Web site][Access date April 21st 2010]. Available at: http://www. juntadeandalucia.es/salud/servicios/procesos/listados.asp

79. Business Process Modeling Initiative.[Web site]. [Access date April 21st 2010]. Available at: http:// www.bpmi.org 80. Conferencia Internacional sobre Atención Primaria de Salud, Alma-Ata: 25º aniversario. Informe de la Secretaría. Organización Mundial de la Salud. WHA 56/276; 2003.

81. Melguizo M, Cámara C, Martínez JA, et al. Proyecto AP21: Marco Estratégico para la mejora de la Atención Primaria en España. Agenda AP XXI. Madrid: Ministerio de Sanidad y Consumo; 2007.

82. Boletín oficial del estado. Real decreto 1030/2006 15 de septiembre. Madrid: BOE; 2006.

83. Borrél Carrió F, Gené badía J. La Atención Primaria española en los albores del siglo XXI. Gestión Clínica y Sanitaria. 2008; 10: 3-7.

84. Martín M. Programa de Actividades Preventivas y Promoción de la Salud. Barcelona: PAPPS. Available at: http://www.papps.org

85. Aranda Regules JM. Medicina Familiar y Comunitaria y Salud Pública: ¿una oportunidad perdida?. Rev Esp Salud Pública. 2007;81(001):1-6.

86. Gottschalk A, Flocke SA. Time spent in face-to-face patient care and work outside the examination room. Ann Fam Med. 2005;3(6):488-93.

87. Farber J, Siu A, Bloom P. How much time do physicians spend providing care outside of office visits?. Ann Intern Med. 2007;147(10):693-8.

88. Medicare Payment Advisory Commission. Increasing the value of Medicare: report to the Congress. Washington, DC: MedPAC; June 2006.

89. Bodenheimer T. Building teams in primary care: 15 case studies. Oakland, CA: California HealthCare Foundation; July 2007.

90. Bodenheimer T, Laing BY. The teamlet model of primary care. Ann Fam Med. 2007;5(5):457-61.

91. Nolte E, Knai C, McKee M. Managing chronic conditions Experience in eight countries. Observatory Studies Series No 15. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2008.

92. Arribas L. La organización del Centro de Salud. Como trabajar mejor. In: Foro de debate Gestión Clínica 2.0. Granada, 13rd October 2009. Available at: http://si.easp.es/gestionclinica/wp-content/uploads/2009/10/Doc-3.-La-organizacion-del-centro-de-salud.-Como-trabajar-mejor-\_Arribas-L.\_.pdf

93. Craig DE, Orleáns L, Likosky WH, Caplan WM; Litsky P, Smithey J. Implementation of a hospitalist system in a large health maintenance orgqanization: the Kaiser Permanente experience. Ann Intern Med. 1999; 130: 355-359.

94. Bernabeu-Wittel M, Garcia-Morillo S, Perez-Lazaro JJ, Rodriguez IM, Ollero M, Calderon E, Gonzalez MA, Cuello JA; Andalusian Society of Internal Medicine (SADEMI). Work, career satisfaction, and the position of general internists in the south of Spain. Eur J Intern Med. 2005 Oct;16(6):454-60.

95. Ollero Baturone M y Ortiz Camúñez M. A. Internistas y médicos de familia. (editorial). Atención Primaria. 1996; 18: 49-51.

96. Ollero M (Coord.). Médicos de familia e internistas trabajando por una atención integral. Sevilla: SEMI, SEMFYC; 1998.

97. Ollero M (Coord.), Cabrera JM, Ossorne M y cols. Proceso Asistencial Integrado. Atención al Paciente Pluripatológico. Sevilla: Consejería de Salud. Andalucía; 2002.

98. Gamboa Antiñolo F, Gómez Camacho E, de Villar Conde E, Vega Sánchez J, Mayoral Martín L, López Alonso R. Un nuevo modelo para la asistencia a los pacientes multiingresadores. Rev Clin Esp. 2002;202 (4): 187-96.

99. Grupo para la Asistencia Médica Integrada Continua de Cádiz (GAMIC). La consulta de medicina interna en atención primaria mejora la eficacia de la ateción médica. Med Clin (Barc). 2004;122(2):46-52.

100. Fernández Moyano A, García Garmendia JL, Palmero Palmero C et al. Continuidad asistencial. Evaluación de un programa de colaboración entre atención hospitalaria y Atención Primaria. Rev Clin Esp. 2007; 207(10):510-20.

101. Evaluacion de las satisfaccion de un programa de colaboracion entre atencion primaria y medicina interna. Ramirez Duque N, Ollero Baturone M, Angeles Ortiz C, Bernabeu Wittel M. Rev Calid Asist. 2009 (Press).

102. Faulkner A, Mills N, Bainton D, et al. A systematic review of the effect of primary care-based service innovations on quality and patterns of referral to specialist secondary care. British Journal of General Practice. 2003; 53(496): 878-884.

103. Mitchell G, Del Mar C and Francis D. Does primary medical practitioner involvement with a specialist team improve patient outcomes? A systematic reviewBritish Journal of General Practice. 2002; 52(484): 934-939.

104. Gérvas J. Atención Primaria de Salud en Europa: tendencias a principios del siglo XXI. Una reflexión con motivo de los XXV años de la Declaración de Alma Ata. SEMERGEN. 2004;30:245-57.

105. Gérvas J, Pérez Fernández M. Atención Primaria fuerte: fundamento clínico, epidemiológico y social en los países desarrollados y en desarrollo. Rev Bras Epidemiol. 2006;9(3):384-400.

106. Gérvas J, Rico A. La coordinación en el sistema sanitario y su mejora a través de las reformas europeas de la atención primaria. SEMERGEN. 2005;31(9):418-23.

107. Gérvas J, Perez Fernandez M. La Atención Primaria en España. Gestión Clinica y Sanitaria; 2008; 10:8-12.

108. Pou-Bordoy J, Gené Badía, J, de la Cámara González C, Berraondo Zabalegui I, Puig Barbera J. Gerencia única: una ilusión sin evidencia. Aten Primaria. 2006; 37(4):231.

109. IntereS@S Boletín 8. 23/09/2009. Available at: http://www.juntadeandalucia.es/servicioandaluzdesalud/principal/documentosAcc.asp?pagina=gr\_actualidad2\_b8 110. Programa de acreditación de competencias profesionales del Sistema Sanitario Público de Andalucía. Sevilla: Fundación Agencia de calidad Sanitaria de Andalucía. Available at: http://www.juntadeandalucia. es/agenciadecalidadsanitaria/system/galleries/download/acsa/Programas\_Acreditacion/pcp\_es.pdf

111. Programa de acreditación de Unidades de Gestión Clínica. Sevilla: Fundación Agencia de calidad Sanitaria de Andalucía. Available at: http://www.juntadeandalucia.es/agenciadecalidadsanitaria/ programas\_de\_acreditacion/centros/programas\_de\_acreditacion/Programas\_Acreditacion\_Centros/ programas\_de\_acreditacion\_centros\_uni\_gesti\_clinic.html

112. Fetter RG, Shin Y, Freeman JL, Averill RF, Thompson JD. Case mix definition by Diagnosis-Related Groups. Med Care. 1980;18 Suppl:1-53.

113. Conesa A, Vilardell L, Casanellas JM, Torre P, Gelabert G, Trilla A, et al. Análisis y clasificación de las urgencias hospitalarias mediante los Ambulatory Patient Groups. Gac Sanit. 2003;17(6):447-52.

114. Weiner JP, Starfield BH, Steinwachs DM, Mumford LM. Development and application of a populationoriented measure of ambulatory care case-mix. Med Care. 1991; 29(5): 452-72.

115. Sicras-Mainar A, Serrat-Tarrés J. Medida de los pesos relativos del coste de la asistencia como efecto de la aplicación retrospectiva de los adjusted clinical groups en atención primaria. Gac Sanit. 2006;20(2):132-41

116. Ollero M (Coord.), Álvarez M, Barón B y cols. Proceso Asistencial Integrado. Atención a Pacientes Pluripatológicos. 2ª Edición. Sevilla: Consejería de Salud; 2007.

117. Pérez-Díaz JM, Martín Pérez M, Ramos-Cantos MC, San Román-Terán CM. Pacientes con pluripatología ingresados en una unidad de medicina interna. Med Clin (Barc).2005; 24(11):126:37.

118. Zambrana JL, Velasco MJ, Díez F, Cruz G, Martín MD, Adarraga MD. Características clínicas diferenciales de los enfermos pluripatológicos hospitalizados en servicios de Medicina Interna. Rev Clin Esp. 2005;205(9):413-17.

119. García-Morillo JS, Bernabeu-Wittel M, Ollero-Baturone M, Aguilar-Guisado M, Ramírez-Duque N, González de la Puente MA, et al. Incidencia y características clínicas de los pacientes con pluripatología ingresados en una unidad de medicina interna. Med Clin (Barc). 2005;125(1):5-9.

120. Fernández-Miera MF, Esclada Sarabia C, Sampedro García I. Manejo del paciente pluripatológico en una unidad de hospitalización domiciliaria. Med Clin (Barc). 2005;126:37-8.

121. Ramírez-Duque N, Ollero-Baturone M, Bernabeu-Wittel M, Rincón-Gómez M, Ortiz-Camuñez MÁ, García-Morillo S. Característicasclínicas, funcionales, mentales y sociales de pacientes pluripatológicos. Estudio prospectivo durante un año en Atención Primaria. Rev Clin Esp. 2008;208(1):4-11.

122. García-Morillo S, Bernabeu-Wittel M, Cassani M, Rincón M, YerroPáez V, Ollero Baturone M. Influencia de los factores biopsicosociales en el grado de empatía de la relación médico-enfermo en una cohorte de pluripatológicos. Rev Clin Esp. 2007;207(8):379-82.

123. Moreno-Gaviñoa L, Bernabeu-Wittela M, Álvarez-Tello M, Rincón Gómeza M, Bohórquez Colomboc P, Cassani Garza M, Ollero Baturone M y García-Morillo S. Sobrecarga sentida por la figura del cuidador principal en una cohorte de pacientes pluripatológicos. Aten Primaria. 2008;40(4):193-8.

124. Bernabeu-Wittel M , Jadad A, Moreno-Gaviño L, Hernández-Quiles C, Toscano F, Cassani M, Ramírez N, Ollero-Baturone M. Peeking through the cracks: An assessment of the prevalence, clinical characteristics and health-related quality of life (HRQoL) of people with polypathology in a hospital setting. Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics. 2009 (Press).

125. Campbell M, Fitzpatrick R, Haines A, Kinmonth AL, Sandercock P, Spiegelhalter D, Tyrer P Framework for design and evaluation of complex interventions to improve health. BMJ. 2000 Sep 16;321(7262):694-6.

126. Song F, Loke YK, Walsh T, Glenny AM, Eastwood AJ, Altman DG. Methodological problems in the use of indirect comparisons for evaluating healthcare interventions: survey of published systematic reviews. BMJ. 2009 Apr 3;338:b1147. doi: 10.1136/bmj.b1147.





#### Abbreviations

AAL: Ambient Assisted Living	PACE: Pro
BMJ: British Medical Journal	QALY: Qua
CAM: Complementary And Alternative Medicine	QRISK: Ca
CCD: Complex Chronic Disease	RE-AIM: F
CCM: Chronic Care Model	Maintenar
CIRS: Chronic Illness Resources Survey	SNOMED Terms
CMPs: Case Management Programs	SSPA: Sist
CVD: Cardiovascular Disease	TCAM: Tra
DMPs: Disease Management Programs	TPE: Ther
EASP: Escuela Andaluza de Salud Pública	VHA: Veter
EPP CIC: Expert Patients Programme Community Interest Company	WHO: Wor
GRIN: Genomics, Robotics, Informatics and Nanotechnologies	
ICCC: Innovative Care for Chronic Conditions	
ICD: International Classification of Diseases	
ICED: Index of Coexisting Disease	
IDS: Individual Disease Severity	
MCCs: Multiple Chronic Conditions	
MD team: Medical Doctor	
MeSH: Medicines Medical Subject Headings	
MI: Motivational interviewing	
MPOWER: Monitor (tobacco use and prevention policies), Protect (people from tobacco smoke), Offer (help to quit tobacco use), Warn (about the dangers of tobacco), Enforce (bans on tobacco advertising, promotion and sponsorship), Raise (taxes on tobacco)	
NHIS: National Health Interview Survey	
NHS: National Health Service	

OECD: Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development

**OPIMEC:** Observatorio de Prácticas Innovadoras en el Manejo de Enfermedades Crónicas Complejas

PACE: Program of All-inclusive Care
QALY: Quality-Adjusted Life Year
QRISK: Cardiovascular disease risk score
RE-AIM: Reach, Effectiveness, Adoption, Implementation and Maintenance
SNOMED CT: Systematized Nomenclature of Medicine-Clinical Terms
SSPA: Sistema Sanitario Público de Andalucía
TCAM: Traditional Complementary And Alternative Medicine
TPE: Therapeutic patient education
VHA: Veterans Health Administration

WHO: World Health Organization

### Figures and Tables

### Chapter 1

Figure 1.	Search strategy	20
Figure 2.	Research topics in the management of patients with complex chronic care needs identified at the SOTA conference sponsored by the VHA in 2006	23
Figure 3.	Interactive table of contents with a section simple	29
Chap	ter 2	
Figure 1.	Baseline Functional Impairment (measured on the Barthel scale) at Admission and Discharge of General and Pluripathological Patient Cohorts	44
Table 1.	Criteria which define the Pluripathological Patient	41
Table 2.	Modified Charlson Index	47
Table 3.	Cumulative Illness Rating Store	48
Table 4.	Kaplan-Feinstein Comorbidity Index	50
Chap	ter 3	
Figure 1.	Effectiveness of Various Forms of Nicotine Replacement Therapy in Helping People to Stop Smoking	63
Figure 2.	Overlap among Women and Men who will Experience a Cardiovascular Event in the next 10 Years and who are Predicted to Do so by the QRISK and Framingham Risk Assessments	70
Table 1.	A Systematic Review of Interventions Designed to Improve the Diet and Promote Physical Activity	66
Table 2.	Requirements for an Effective Screening Programme	74
Table 3.	UK Criteria for Appraising the Viability, Effectiveness and Appropriateness of a Screening Programme	75
Table 4.	Systematic Population Screening Programmes which have not been Recommended in the UK	78

### Chapter 4

Figure 2.The Expanded Chronic Care Model97Figure 3.WHQ, Innovative Care for Chronic Conditions Framework97Figure 4.Kaiser Permanente risk stratification pyramid97Figure 5.The linear process of planned change103Table 1.Key elements of the ICCC model92Table 2.Effective interventions in the management of chronic patients107Chapter 8Table 1.CAM Treatments Based on Sound Evidence193Chapter 9Figure 2.Unnecessary hospital admissions related to the number of Conditions214Figure 3.A small percentage of patients account for many hospital bed days215Figure 4.Distribution of Medicare Cover and Expenditure in Different Sectors of the Population214Figure 5.Estimated 2008 US Healthcare Cost per person by extent of risk factors214Table 1.Cost per Group of Countries per Quality-adjusted Life-year of Cholesterol and Hypertension Level Control Measures214		Figure 1.	The Chronic Care Model	91		
Figure 3.       WH0, Innovative Care for Chronic Conditions Framework       92         Figure 4.       Kaiser Permanente risk stratification pyramid       92         Figure 5.       The linear process of planned change       103         Table 1.       Key elements of the ICCC model       92         Table 2.       Effective interventions in the management of chronic patients       103         Chapter 8       104         Table 1.       CAM Treatments Based on Sound Evidence       193         Chapter 9       104         Figure 1.       Percent of medicare spending per person by number of Chronic Conditions       214         Figure 2.       Unnecessary hospital admissions related to the number of conditions coexisting in a person       214         Figure 3.       A small percentage of patients account for many hospital bed days       214         Figure 4.       Distribution of Medicare Cover and Expenditure in Different Sectors of the Population       214         Figure 5.       Estimated 2008 US Healthcare Cost per person by extent of risk factors       214         Table 1.       Cost per Group of Countries per Quality-adjusted Life-year of Cholesterol and Hypertension Level Control Measures       214		Figure 2.	The Expanded Chronic Care Model	91		
Figure 4.       Kaiser Permanente risk stratification pyramid       97         Figure 5.       The linear process of planned change       103         Table 1.       Key elements of the ICCC model       97         Table 2.       Effective interventions in the management of chronic patients       107         Chapter 8         Table 1.       CAM Treatments Based on Sound Evidence       198         Chapter 9       Figure 1.       Percent of medicare spending per person by number of Chronic Conditions       214         Figure 2.       Unnecessary hospital admissions related to the number of conditions coexisting in a person       218         Figure 3.       A small percentage of patients account for many hospital bed days       218         Figure 4.       Distribution of Medicare Cover and Expenditure in Different Sectors of the Population       214         Figure 5.       Estimated 2008 US Healthcare Cost per person by extent of risk factors       218         Table 1.       Cost per Group of Countries per Quality-adjusted Life-year of Cholesterol and Hypertension Level Control Measures       219		Figure 3.	WHO, Innovative Care for Chronic Conditions Framework	93		
Figure 5.       The linear process of planned change       100         Table 1.       Key elements of the ICCC model       92         Table 2.       Effective interventions in the management of chronic patients       100         Chapter 8         Table 1.       CAM Treatments Based on Sound Evidence       195         Chapter 9       Figure 1.       Percent of medicare spending per person by number of Chronic Conditions       214         Figure 2.       Unnecessary hospital admissions related to the number of conditions coexisting in a person       215         Figure 3.       A small percentage of patients account for many hospital bed days       215         Figure 4.       Distribution of Medicare Cover and Expenditure in Different Sectors of the Population       216         Figure 5.       Estimated 2008 US Healthcare Cost per person by extent of risk factors       216         Table 1.       Cost per Group of Countries per Quality-adjusted Life-year of Cholesterol and Hypertension Level Control Measures       216		Figure 4.	Kaiser Permanente risk stratification pyramid	97		
Table 1.       Key elements of the ICCC model       92         Table 2.       Effective interventions in the management of chronic patients       101         Chapter 8         Table 1.       CAM Treatments Based on Sound Evidence       195         Chapter 9         Figure 1.       Percent of medicare spending per person by number of Chronic Conditions       214         Figure 2.       Unnecessary hospital admissions related to the number of conditions coexisting in a person       215         Figure 3.       A small percentage of patients account for many hospital bed days       215         Figure 4.       Distribution of Medicare Cover and Expenditure in Different Sectors of the Population       216         Figure 5.       Estimated 2008 US Healthcare Cost per person by extent of risk factors       216         Table 1.       Cost per Group of Countries per Quality-adjusted Life-year of Cholesterol and Hypertension Level Control Measures       216		Figure 5.	The linear process of planned change	103		
Table 2.       Effective interventions in the management of chronic patients       107         Chapter 8         Table 1.       CAM Treatments Based on Sound Evidence       198         Chapter 9       Figure 1.       Percent of medicare spending per person by number of Chronic Conditions       214         Figure 2.       Unnecessary hospital admissions related to the number of conditions coexisting in a person       218         Figure 3.       A small percentage of patients account for many hospital bed days       218         Figure 4.       Distribution of Medicare Cover and Expenditure in Different Sectors of the Population       210         Figure 5.       Estimated 2008 US Healthcare Cost per person by extent of risk factors       218         Table 1.       Cost per Group of Countries per Quality-adjusted Life-year of Cholesterol and Hypertension Level Control Measures       219		Table 1.	Key elements of the ICCC model	92		
Chapter 8         Table 1.       CAM Treatments Based on Sound Evidence       195         Chapter 9       Figure 1.       Percent of medicare spending per person by number of Chronic Conditions       214         Figure 2.       Unnecessary hospital admissions related to the number of conditions coexisting in a person       215         Figure 3.       A small percentage of patients account for many hospital bed days       215         Figure 4.       Distribution of Medicare Cover and Expenditure in Different Sectors of the Population       216         Figure 5.       Estimated 2008 US Healthcare Cost per person by extent of risk factors       218         Table 1.       Cost per Group of Countries per Quality-adjusted Life-year of Cholesterol and Hypertension Level Control Measures       219		Table 2.	Effective interventions in the management of chronic patients	101		
Table 1.       CAM Treatments Based on Sound Evidence       199         Chapter 9       Figure 1.       Percent of medicare spending per person by number of Chronic Conditions       214         Figure 2.       Unnecessary hospital admissions related to the number of conditions coexisting in a person       219         Figure 3.       A small percentage of patients account for many hospital bed days       219         Figure 4.       Distribution of Medicare Cover and Expenditure in Different Sectors of the Population       214         Figure 5.       Estimated 2008 US Healthcare Cost per person by extent of risk factors       218         Table 1.       Cost per Group of Countries per Quality-adjusted Life-year of Cholesterol and Hypertension Level Control Measures       219		Chap	ter 8			
Chapter 9         Figure 1.       Percent of medicare spending per person by number of Chronic Conditions       214         Figure 2.       Unnecessary hospital admissions related to the number of conditions coexisting in a person       214         Figure 3.       A small percentage of patients account for many hospital bed days       214         Figure 4.       Distribution of Medicare Cover and Expenditure in Different Sectors of the Population       214         Figure 5.       Estimated 2008 US Healthcare Cost per person by extent of risk factors       214         Table 1.       Cost per Group of Countries per Quality-adjusted Life-year of Cholesterol and Hypertension Level Control Measures       214		Table 1.	CAM Treatments Based on Sound Evidence	195		
Figure 1.       Percent of medicare spending per person by number of Chronic Conditions       214         Figure 2.       Unnecessary hospital admissions related to the number of conditions coexisting in a person       214         Figure 3.       A small percentage of patients account for many hospital bed days       215         Figure 4.       Distribution of Medicare Cover and Expenditure in Different Sectors of the Population       216         Figure 5.       Estimated 2008 US Healthcare Cost per person by extent of risk factors       216         Table 1.       Cost per Group of Countries per Quality-adjusted Life-year of Cholesterol and Hypertension Level Control Measures       216	Chapter 9					
Figure 2.       Unnecessary hospital admissions related to the number of conditions coexisting in a person       215         Figure 3.       A small percentage of patients account for many hospital bed days       215         Figure 4.       Distribution of Medicare Cover and Expenditure in Different Sectors of the Population       216         Figure 5.       Estimated 2008 US Healthcare Cost per person by extent of risk factors       218         Table 1.       Cost per Group of Countries per Quality-adjusted Life-year of Cholesterol and Hypertension Level Control Measures       219		Figure 1.	Percent of medicare spending per person by number of Chronic Conditions	214		
Figure 3.       A small percentage of patients account for many hospital bed days       218         Figure 4.       Distribution of Medicare Cover and Expenditure in Different Sectors of the Population       216         Figure 5.       Estimated 2008 US Healthcare Cost per person by extent of risk factors       218         Table 1.       Cost per Group of Countries per Quality-adjusted Life-year of Cholesterol and Hypertension Level Control Measures       219		Figure 2.	Unnecessary hospital admissions related to the number of conditions coexisting in a person	215		
Figure 4.       Distribution of Medicare Cover and Expenditure in Different Sectors of the Population       21a         Figure 5.       Estimated 2008 US Healthcare Cost per person by extent of risk factors       21a         Table 1.       Cost per Group of Countries per Quality-adjusted Life-year of Cholesterol and Hypertension Level Control Measures       21a		Figure 3.	A small percentage of patients account for many hospital bed days	215		
Figure 5.       Estimated 2008 US Healthcare Cost per person by extent of risk factors       218         Table 1.       Cost per Group of Countries per Quality-adjusted Life-year of Cholesterol and Hypertension Level Control Measures       219		Figure 4.	Distribution of Medicare Cover and Expenditure in Different Sectors of the Population	216		
Table 1.       Cost per Group of Countries per         Quality-adjusted Life-year of Cholesterol       and Hypertension Level Control Measures       219		Figure 5.	Estimated 2008 US Healthcare Cost per person by extent of risk factors	218		
		Table 1.	Cost per Group of Countries per Quality-adjusted Life-year of Cholesterol and Hypertension Level Control Measures	219		

#### Index

Assessment tools 45 Associated factors 22 Bottom up 104 CAM Treatments 195 Cardiovascular Event 70 Case management 96 Category 41 CCM 90, 95 Challenges 241, 243 Charlson Index 98 Children 22 Chronic care management 100 Chronic Care Model 91 Chronic diseases 18, 19, 45, 90 Chronic patients 101 CIRS Scale 47 Collaborative effort 24, 243 Community 68, 200 Community self-management 129 Comorbidity 39 Comorbidity 39 Complex adaptive systems 102 Complex chronic care needs 23 Complex chronic cases 95 Complex chronic disease 45 Confluent morbidity 45 Contributor, contributorship 29 Cooperation 102 Customization 175

Death 166, 168, 169 Demedicalization199 Dependence 217 Developing countries 22 Diet 65 Disease burden 45 Disease risk factors 217 Dying phase 168 Economic implications 198, 211, 219 End of life 164, 167 Entrepreneurship 104 Environment 67 EPP CIC 130 Evercare model 99 Expanded Chronic Care Model 90 Flinders Program 124 Functional deterioration 44 G factor 230 Genomics 227 Guided Care Model 96 Guided Mastery 126 Health care professionals 121, 125 Health Promotion 57 Healthcare costs 217, 218 Hospital 215 I factor 232 ICCC 92 ICCC model 92,93, 101 ICD 98

ICED 48 Illness rating store 48 Individuals 69 Informatics 227 Innovative strategies 51, 82,102, 129, 149, 175, 201, 220, 234 Institutional services 141 Institutions 166 Instruments 50 Integrated care processes 103 Integrated management processes 141 Integration 129 Integrative medicine 189, 198, 200 Kaiser model 96 Kaiser Permanente risk stratification pyramid 97 Kaplan-Feinstein Comorbidity Index 50 Kaplan-Feinstein Index 49 Leadership 104, 105 Levels, prevention 60 Lifestyles 217 Managed care 145 Management models 87, 90 Management of patients 23 Mass media 67 Medicare 214, 216 Metrics 22 Mortality 18 Motivational Interviewing 122 Multiple 19

Multivariate 22	Proffesional roles 147
N factor 233	RE-AIM framework 126
Nanotechnologies 227	Rfactor 231
Nicotine Replacement Therapy 63	Reimbursement model 174
O+Berri 105	Religious settings 68
Older adults 68	Research topics 23
OPIMEC 25, 51, 149, 245	Restorative care 172
Organization men 104	Risks 96
Palliative care 161, 164, 171	Robotics 227
Patient empowerment 128	Role 105
Palliative treatment 172	School settings 67
Pathology 47	Screening 73
Patient education 115, 119	Screening Programme 74, 75
Patient empowerment 128	Search strategy 20
Physical Activity 65	Secondary Prevention 73, 81
Pluripathological Patient 41	Self-management 118
Pluripathology 40	Self-management education 119
Policy 67	Self-management evaluation 127
Political implications 220	Self-management support 115, 121, 125
Polypathology 17, 19, 21, 22, 23, 40, 241	Social Determinants 61
Polypill 71	Socioeconomic implications 198, 211, 220
Populations 69	Sound Evidence 195
Prevalence 21	Supportive care 161, 165, 171
Preventable causes 61	System of care 173
Prevention 57, 59, 60	Taxonomy 39, 51, 102
Primary care 68, 141, 148	TCAM interventions 195
Primary Prevention 61, 69, 80	Technology 178
Primordial Prevention 61, 80	Terminal trajectories 168
Process re-engineering 146	The 5As 121

The Charlson Index 46 Tithonus 18 Tobacco 62, 63 Toolkit 51 Tools 50 Unmet needs 164 Workplace 67



Words cloud from chapter sections "What do we need to know?" and "What innovative strategies could fill the gaps?" [Available at: http://www.wordle.net]

## When people live with multiple chronic diseases: a collaborative approach to an emerging global challenge

This book is continuously evolving at www.opimec.org





**O+berri** instituto vasco de innovación sanitari